PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO HOUSE BILL NO. 1533

Page 2, line 12, remove "or domestic partner"

Page 2, line 12, remove the second "or domestic"

Page 2, line 13, remove "partner"

Page 2, remove lines 19 through 30

Page 3, remove lines 1 through 31

Page 4, line 7, after the underscored semicolon insert "and"

Page 4, line 10, replace "; and" with an underscored period

Page 4, remove lines 11 through 3 13 2 18-3

Page 5, remove lines 1 through 31

Page 6, remove lines 1 through 4

Supreme Court shall adopt rules to implement this Chapter

Page No. 1

23.0308.02001

Sixty-eighth Legislative Assembly of North Dakota

HOUSE BILL NO. 1533

Introduced by

Representatives Boschee, Hanson, Ista, Klemin, Pyle, Roers Jones, Schneider Senator Braunberger

- 1 A BILL for an Act to create and enact a new chapter to title 14 of the North Dakota Century
- 2 Code, relating to protecting survivors of domestic abuse from abusive litigation.

3 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF NORTH DAKOTA:

4 SECTION 1. A new chapter to title 14 of the North Dakota Century Code is created and enacted as follows:

Definitions.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1. "Abusive litigation" means litigation in which:
- a. The parties have or had an intimate partner relationship or any other person with a sufficient relationship to the abusing person as determined by the court under section 14-07.1-02;
 - b. The filing party has been found by a court to have committed an act of domestic violence or disorderly conduct against the opposing party pursuant to a court order entered under chapter 14-07.1, 14-09, or 12.1-32.2, or an equivalent ordinance from another state, provided the issuing court made a specific finding of domestic violence or disorderly conduct, or the filing party has a prior conviction relating to domestic violence against the opposing party under chapter 12.1-17;
 - c. There is intent on the part of the filing party to harass, intimidate, maintain contact with, or retaliate against the opposing party; and
 - d. At least one of the following is true:
 - (1) Claims, allegations, and other legal contentions made in the litigation are not warranted by existing law or by a reasonable argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law, or the establishment of new law;

Sixty-eighth Legislative Assembly

1		(2)	Allegations and other factual contentions made in the litigation lack
2			evidentiary support;
3		<u>(3)</u>	The actions comprising the basis of the litigation previously have been filed
4			or litigated in one or more other courts or jurisdictions and have been
5			disposed of unfavorably against the party filing, initiating, advancing, or
6			continuing the litigation; or
7		<u>(4)</u>	The filing party has been sanctioned previously for filing, initiating,
8			advancing, or continuing litigation found to be frivolous, vexatious,
9			intransigent, or brought in bad faith.
10	2.	"Filing pa	arty" means the party who has filed, initiated, advanced, or continued
11		litigation.	
12	<u>3.</u>	"Intimate	partner" means a spouse or domestic partner, former spouse or domestic
13		partner, a	an individual who has a child with a filing party regardless of whether the
14		individua	I has been married to the filing party or lived with the filing party, or an
15		individua	l who has or had a dating relationship with the filing party.
16	<u>4.</u>	"Litigation	n" means any motion, pleading, petition, or other court filing.
17	<u>5.</u>	"Opposin	ng party" means the party against whom the filing party has filed, initiated,
18		advance	d, or continued litigation.
19	<u>6.</u>	"Restricte	ed individual" means an individual subject to an order restricting abusive-
20		litigation.	
21	Ord	er restrict	t ing abusive litigation - Who may request.
22	-1.	A party to	o a case may request from the court an order restricting abusive litigation if
23		the partie	es are current or former intimate partners and the party against whom the
24		request i	s being made has been found by the court to have committed domestic
25		violence	or disorderly conduct against the other party. A request may be made:
26		<u>a. In a</u>	n answer or response to the litigation being filed, initiated, advanced, or
27		con	tinued:
28		b. By	motion made at any time during any opening or ongoing case; or
29		c. By	a separate motion made under this chapter within five years of the entry of an
30		orde	er for protection even if the order has expired.

Sixty-eighth Legislative Assembly

1	2. Any court of competent jurisdiction by its own motion may determine that a hearing				
2	pursuant to this Act is necessary to determine if a party is engaging in abusive-				
3	litigation.				
4	Instructions, brochures, and forms - Fees.				
5	 The administrative office of the supreme court shall provide the instructions. 				
6	brochures, standard petition, and order for protection forms necessary to implement				
7	this chapter:				
8	2. A court may not charge a filing fee to a party filing a petition for an order restricting				
9	abusive litigation regardless of whether the petition is filed under this chapter or				
10	another chapter in this title.				
11	3. This chapter does not preclude an individual from seeking any other remedy available				
12	under the law.				
13	Hearing - Procedure - Rebuttable presumption.				
14	1. If an opposing party asserts the party is subject to abusive litigation, the court shall set				
15	the matter for hearing on the next available court date.				
16	2. At the hearing to determine the presence of abusive litigation, evidence of any of the				
17	following creates a rebuttable presumption that litigation is being filed, initiated,				
18	advanced, or continued for the purpose of harassing, intimidating, maintaining contact				
19	with, or retaliating against the opposing party:				
20	a. The same or substantially similar issues between the same or substantially				
21	similar parties have been litigated within the past five years in the same court or				
22	any other court of competent jurisdiction:				
23	b. The same or substantially similar issues between the same or substantially				
24	similar parties have been raised, pled, or alleged in the past five years and were				
25	dismissed on the merits or with prejudice:				
26	c. Within the last ten years, the filing party has been sanctioned for filing, initiating.				
27	advancing, or continuing litigation found to be frivolous, vexatious, intransigent, or				
28	brought in bad faith involving the same opposing party; or				
29	d. A court of record in another judicial district has determined the filing party				
30	engaged in abusive litigation or similar conduct and has been subject to a court				
31	order imposing prefiling restrictions.				

1	Burden of proof - Dismissal - Entry of order restricting abusive litigation.				
2	1.	<u>lf a</u>	court finds by a preponderance of the evidence any of the litigation pending before		
3		the	court constitutes abusive litigation, the court shall dispose of the litigation with		
4		prejudice.			
5	<u>2.</u>	If th	ne court finds abusive litigation, the court shall enter an order restricting abusive		
6		litig	ation. The order must:		
7		<u>a.</u>	Impose all costs of the abusive litigation against the filing party; and		
8		<u>b.</u>	Award the opposing party reasonable attorney's fees and costs associated with		
9			responding to the abusive litigation, including the cost of seeking the order		
10			restricting abusive litigation; and.		
11	-	<u>e.</u>	Identify the protected party and impose prefiling restrictions upon the restricted		
12			individual for a period of at least forty-eight months and no more than		
13			seventy-two-months.		
14	Proceeding when abusive litigation is not present.				
15	- If the court finds by a preponderance of the evidence any of the litigation pending before the				
16	court does not constitute abusive litigation, the court shall enter written findings to that effect				
17	and the portions of the litigation found not to be abusive may proceed.				
18	Filing of new case or motion by individual subject to order restricting abusive				
19	litigatio	n - R	equirements and procedure.		
20	-1.	Exc	ept as provided in this section, a restricted individual is prohibited from filing.		
21		initi	ating, advancing, or continuing litigation against the protected party for the period		
22		<u>of ti</u>	me the filing restrictions are in effect.		
23	2.	Not	withstanding subsection 1, a restricted individual may seek permission to file		
24		litig	ation using the procedure set forth in this section.		
25	<u>3.</u>	Are	estricted individual against whom prefling restrictions have been imposed under this		
26		cha	pter may request permission of the court to engage in litigation against a protected		
27		par	ty. The judicial official who imposed the prefiling restrictions shall hear the request.		
28	-4.	Wh	en considering the restricted party's request for permission to file litigation, the		
29		<u>judi</u>	cial officer may examine witnesses, court records, and any other evidence to		
30		dete	ermine if the proposed litigation would constitute abusive litigation under this		
31		eha	pter. If, based on a review of the record as well as any evidence presented during		

the hearing, the judicial official concludes the proposed litigation would constitute abusive litigation, the application to file the proposed litigation must be denied, dismissed, or otherwise disposed with prejudice.

- 5. If the judicial official concludes the proposed litigation would not constitute abusive litigation, the judicial official shall issue an order permitting the proposed litigation to proceed. A copy of the order allowing the litigation to proceed must be served upon the protected party and attached to the front of any litigation filed by the restricted party with the clerk of court. If a protected individual is served with litigation filed by a restricted individual in violation of any order entered under this chapter, the protected individual sufficiently may respond to the litigation by filing a copy of the order restricting abusive litigation, but is under no obligation to respond to the litigation, appear for depositions in the litigation, or take any responsive action otherwise required by the rules and statutes that govern civil proceedings.
- 6. If a restricted individual's application for permission to file proposed litigation is granted under this section, the time beginning with the filing of the application and ending with the issuance of an order permitting the litigation to proceed may not be computed as a part of any applicable period of limitation within which the matter must be instituted.
- 7. If, after a party subject to prefiling restrictions has applied and been granted permission to file or advance a case under this section, any judicial officer hearing or presiding over the case determines the individual is attempting to add parties; amend the complaint, or otherwise attempting to alter the parties and issues involved in the litigation in a manner the judicial officer reasonably believes would constitute abusive litigation under this chapter, the judicial officer shall stay the proceedings and refer the case to the judicial officer who granted the application to proceed with litigation.
- 8. If the court discovers an individual against whom prefiling restrictions have been imposed has filed a new case or is continuing an existing case without having been granted permission under this section, the court shall dismiss, deny, or otherwise dispose of the matter. This action may be taken by the court on the court's own motion or initiative. The court may take any action against the perpetrator of abusive litigation the court deems necessary and appropriate for a violation of the order restricting abusive litigation.

Sixty-eighth Legislative Assembly

1	9. If the judicial officer who imposed the prefiling restrictions is no longer serving in the
2	same capacity in the same judicial district in which the restrictions were placed or is-
3	otherwise unavailable for any reason, any other judicial officer in that judicial district
4	may perform the review required under this section.

Supreme Court shall adopt rules to implemed this Chapter.