

ANALYSIS OF FUNDING FOR STATE EMPLOYEE SALARY INCREASES

Year	Salary Increase Provided	Annual Inflation*
1973	5%	6.2%
1974	4%	11.0%
1975	5% (in addition to an 11.9% salary adjustment)	9.1%
1976	5%	5.7%
1977	5%	6.5%
1978	5%	7.6%
1979	6.5%	11.3%
1980	6.5%	13.5%
1981	9% (in addition to a 10% salary adjustment)	10.3%
1982	8% (reduced by Governor's budget allotments)	6.2%
1983	2% contribution to retirement	3.2%
1984	2% contribution to retirement	4.3%
1985	9.5% higher education faculty and senior administrative staff, 5.5% for all other state employees	3.5%
1986	4% with a minimum of \$50 per month; the Governor deferred this increase for agencies under his control to January 1, 1987	1.9%
1987	0%	3.7%
1988	0%	4.1%
1989	11.4% higher education faculty at the University of North Dakota and North Dakota State University; 9.5% higher education faculty at other four-year universities; 7.3% higher education faculty at two-year colleges; 9.1% faculty at the medical school; administrative, professional, and classified employees at the institutions of higher education received increases averaging between 8.7% and 9.7%; 7.1% for all other state employees	4.8%
1990	7% higher education faculty at four-year universities, 5% higher education faculty at two-year colleges, 0% all other state employees	5.4%
1991	4% with a minimum of \$50 per month	4.2%
1992	\$40 per month	3.0%
1993	\$60 per month	3.0%
1994	3% (to the extent available from agency savings)	2.6%
1995	2%	2.8%
1996	3% (includes 1% for salary inequity correction and merit increases)	2.9%
1997	3% (includes 1.5% for salary inequity correction and merit increases)	2.3%
1998	3% (includes 1.5% for salary inequity correction and merit increases; in addition, the University System received a \$3.2 million pool of funds for the 1997-99 biennium to address salary compression, market, and equity problems)	1.5%
1999	2% with a minimum of \$35 per month - Increases over \$35 are to be based on merit and equity. The North Dakota University System provided an overall system average salary increase of 4.2%.	2.2%
2000	2% with a minimum of \$35 per month - Increases over \$35 are to be based on merit and equity. An additional 1% may be provided to the extent the increase can be paid with existing agency resources. The North Dakota University System provided an overall system average salary increase of 4.2%.	3.4%
2001 ¹	3% with a minimum of \$35 per month - Increases over \$35 are to be based on merit and equity. The North Dakota University System provided an overall system average salary increase of 4.8%.	2.8%
2002 ¹	2% with a minimum of \$35 per month - Increases over \$35 are to be based on merit and equity. The North Dakota University System provided an overall system average salary increase of 4.8%.	1.6%

2003	Up to 1% effective on January 1, 2004, for the executive and judicial branches to the extent that the increase can be provided from pooled savings realized from the eliminated FTE positions and from accumulated savings from other vacant FTE positions. At least 70% of the funding for the increase provided must be from pooled savings of the eliminated FTE positions. No specific funding was provided to higher education institutions for salary increases, with the intent that any salary increases provided be from existing appropriation authority. The judicial branch eliminated four vacant FTE positions and provided a 1% salary increase to its employees effective January 1, 2004. The executive branch did not receive a salary increase.	2.3%
2004	Up to 2% effective on January 1, 2005, for the executive and judicial branches to the extent that the increase can be provided from pooled savings realized from eliminated FTE positions and from accumulated savings from other vacant FTE positions. At least 70% of the funding for the increase provided must be from pooled savings of the eliminated FTE positions. No specific funding was provided to higher education institutions for salary increases, with the intent that any salary increases provided be from existing appropriation authority. The executive branch and judicial branch did not eliminate positions to receive salary increases on January 1, 2005.	2.7%
2005	4%	2.5% (projected)
2006	4%	2.4% (projected)

* Percentage change, consumer price index annual rate, Economy.com

¹ In addition, the Legislative Assembly provided:

\$5 million, \$2.7 million of which is from the general fund, for market equity compensation adjustments for classified employees as approved by Human Resource Management Services.

\$4,628,824 from the general fund for equity and special needs for entities under the control of the State Board of Higher Education. A portion of this amount may be used for salary increases to address equity issues.

\$178,233, \$142,697 of which is from the general fund, for salary equity adjustments for elected and appointed officials.