

**COMPARISON OF EXECUTIVE BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS TO FINAL BUDGETS
APPROVED BY THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY FOR THE 1967-69 THROUGH 2005-07 BIENNIUMS¹**

	General Fund Appropriations	Special Fund Appropriations	Total All Appropriations	General Fund Revenues²
1967-69				
Executive budget	\$132,496,141	\$204,346,811	\$336,842,952	\$148,269,822
Legislative increase (decrease)	13,142,530	1,004,394	14,146,924	16,980,000
Legislative budget	<u>\$145,638,671</u>	<u>\$205,351,205</u>	<u>\$350,989,876</u>	<u>\$165,249,822</u>
1969-71				
Executive budget	\$166,602,105	\$216,086,092	\$382,688,197	\$181,000,000
Legislative increase (decrease)	17,084,026	45,659,367	62,743,393	22,092,623
Legislative budget	<u>\$183,686,131</u>	<u>\$261,745,459</u>	<u>\$445,431,590</u>	<u>\$203,092,623</u>
1971-73				
Executive budget	\$226,640,383	\$257,317,128	\$483,957,511	\$241,840,000
Legislative increase (decrease)	(384,651)	10,528,371	10,143,720	1,769,348
Legislative budget	<u>\$226,255,732</u>	<u>\$267,845,499</u>	<u>\$494,101,231</u>	<u>\$243,609,348</u>
1973-75				
Executive budget	\$267,951,706	\$314,124,492	\$582,076,198	\$307,075,000
Legislative increase (decrease)	6,733,531	38,835,098	45,568,629	(5,705,492)
Legislative budget	<u>\$274,685,237</u>	<u>\$352,959,590</u>	<u>\$627,644,827</u>	<u>\$301,369,508</u>
Add				
Deficiency appropriations provided by the 1975 Legislative Assembly	23,135,698	3,168,074	26,303,772	
Legislative budget as restated	<u>\$297,820,935</u>	<u>\$356,127,664</u>	<u>\$653,948,599</u>	<u>\$301,369,508</u>
1975-77				
Executive budget	\$438,882,752	\$394,903,834	\$833,786,586	\$530,645,000
Legislative increase (decrease)	3,646,809	41,277,918	44,924,727	5,461,978
Reduction to June 30, 1975, general fund balance for deficiency appropriations for 1973-75				(23,135,698)
Legislative budget	<u>\$442,529,561</u>	<u>\$436,181,752</u>	<u>\$878,711,313</u>	<u>\$512,971,280</u>
1977-79				
Executive budget	\$538,440,978	\$477,421,009	\$1,015,861,987	\$647,900,000
Legislative increase (decrease)	35,376,433	87,268,156	122,644,589	371,650
Legislative budget	<u>\$573,817,411</u>	<u>\$564,689,165</u>	<u>\$1,138,506,576</u>	<u>\$648,271,650</u>
Add				
Deficiency appropriations provided by the 1979 Legislative Assembly	1,250,441	407,544	1,657,985	
Legislative budget as restated	<u>\$575,067,852</u>	<u>\$565,096,709</u>	<u>\$1,140,164,561</u>	<u>\$648,271,650</u>

1979-81

Executive budget	\$646,401,970	\$710,607,537	\$1,357,009,507	\$694,467,657
Legislative increase (decrease)	8,317,480	57,780,260	66,097,740	10,028,475
Reduction to June 30, 1979, general fund balance for deficiency appropriations for 1977-79				(1,250,441)
Legislative budget	<u>\$654,719,450</u>	<u>\$768,387,797</u>	<u>\$1,423,107,247</u>	<u>\$703,245,691</u>
Add				
Deficiency appropriations provided by the 1981 Legislative Assembly	25,697,704	3,953,973	29,651,677	
Legislative budget as restated	<u>\$680,417,154</u>	<u>\$772,341,770</u>	<u>\$1,452,758,924</u>	<u>\$703,245,691</u>

1981-83

Executive budget	\$1,030,540,733	\$1,043,972,705	\$2,074,513,438	\$1,129,692,934
Legislative increase (decrease)	<u>(126,944,501)</u>	<u>133,544,456</u>	<u>6,599,955</u>	<u>(102,960,239)</u>
Legislative budget	\$903,596,232	\$1,177,517,161	\$2,081,113,393	\$1,026,732,695
Add				
Deficiency appropriations provided by the 1983 Legislative Assembly	6,653,000	27,775,132	34,428,132	
Legislative budget as restated	<u>\$910,249,232</u>	<u>\$1,205,292,293</u>	<u>\$2,115,541,525</u>	<u>\$1,026,732,695</u>

1983-85

Executive budget	\$924,455,265 ³	\$1,114,112,221	\$2,038,567,486	\$974,408,000
Legislative increase (decrease)	<u>80,655,781</u>	<u>25,386,761</u>	<u>106,042,542</u>	<u>68,097,250</u>
Legislative budget	\$1,005,111,046	\$1,139,498,982	\$2,144,610,028	\$1,042,505,250
Add				
Deficiency appropriations provided by the 1985 Legislative Assembly	12,750,124	8,556,647	21,306,771	
Legislative budget as restated	<u>\$1,017,861,170</u>	<u>\$1,148,055,629</u>	<u>\$2,165,916,799</u>	<u>\$1,042,505,250</u>

1985-87

Executive budget	\$1,188,601,469 ⁴	\$1,292,110,406	\$2,480,711,875	\$1,219,290,080
Legislative increase (decrease)	<u>(63,055,462)</u>	<u>42,502,011</u>	<u>(20,553,451)</u>	<u>(62,146,218)</u>
Legislative budget	\$1,125,546,007	\$1,334,612,417	\$2,460,158,424	\$1,157,413,862
Add				
Deficiency appropriations provided by the 1987 Legislative Assembly	130,000	4,799,423	4,929,423	
49th Legislative Assembly personal property tax replacement deficiency appropriation not spent until 1985-87 biennium	8,507,654		8,507,654	
Impact of revised revenue estimate				(75,126,740)
Legislative budget as restated	<u>\$1,134,183,661⁵</u>	<u>\$1,339,411,840</u>	<u>\$2,473,595,501</u>	<u>\$1,082,017,122</u>

1987-89

Executive budget	\$1,114,031,918	\$1,406,353,403	\$2,520,385,321	\$1,119,465,000
Legislative increase (decrease)	<u>(56,862,745)</u>	<u>32,891,874</u>	<u>(23,970,871)</u>	<u>(56,462,787)</u>
Legislative budget	\$1,057,169,173	\$1,439,245,277	\$2,496,414,450	\$1,063,002,213
Add				
Deficiency appropriations provided by the 1989 Legislative Assembly	1,539,051	1,200,000	2,739,051	
Legislative budget as restated	<u>\$1,058,708,224⁶</u>	<u>\$1,440,445,277</u>	<u>\$2,499,153,501</u>	<u>\$1,063,002,213</u>

1989-91

Executive budget	\$1,115,265,227	\$1,690,091,064	\$2,805,356,291	\$1,126,110,000
Legislative increase (decrease)	<u>4,520,393</u>	<u>86,034,147</u>	<u>90,554,540</u>	<u>(2,152,095)</u>
Legislative budget	\$1,119,785,620	\$1,776,125,211	\$2,895,910,831	\$1,123,957,905
Add				
Deficiency appropriations provided by the 1991 Legislative Assembly	9,123,068	309,000	9,432,068	
Reductions due to sales, individual income, and gas tax referrals	(95,763,770)	(14,876,540)	(110,640,310)	(103,240,108)
Increase in June 30, 1989, balance				7,361,495
Adjustments for higher education tuition increases and Department of Human Services estimated income adjustments		(1,003,977)	(1,003,977)	
Use of budget stabilization fund	5,967,192		5,967,192	
Two percent unallotment (August 1990)	<u>22,395,712</u>		<u>22,395,712</u>	
Legislative budget as restated	<u>\$1,061,507,822</u>	<u>\$1,760,553,694</u>	<u>\$2,822,061,516</u>	<u>\$1,028,079,292</u>

1991-93

Executive budget	\$1,166,588,941	\$1,901,143,193	\$3,067,732,134	\$1,185,456,000
Legislative increase (decrease)	<u>32,041,733</u>	<u>125,939,720</u>	<u>157,981,453</u>	<u>36,936,959</u>
Legislative budget	\$1,198,630,674 ⁷	\$2,027,082,913	\$3,225,713,587 ⁷	\$1,222,392,959
Add				
Deficiency appropriations provided by the 1993 Legislative Assembly	4,260,429	1,125,175	5,385,604	
Legislative budget as restated	<u>\$1,202,891,103</u>	<u>\$2,028,208,088</u>	<u>\$3,231,099,191</u>	<u>\$1,222,392,959</u>

1993-95

Executive budget	\$1,228,289,194	\$2,248,975,882	\$3,477,265,076	\$1,253,054,000
Legislative increase (decrease)	<u>22,940,773</u>	<u>(88,551,589)</u>	<u>(65,610,816)</u>	<u>7,099,865</u>
Legislative budget	\$1,251,229,967	\$2,160,424,293	\$3,411,654,260	\$1,260,153,865
Add				
Deficiency appropriations provided by the 1995 Legislative Assembly	696,000	2,081,527	2,777,527	
Legislative budget as restated	<u>\$1,251,925,967</u>	<u>\$2,162,505,820</u>	<u>\$3,414,431,787</u>	<u>\$1,260,153,865</u>

1995-97

Executive budget	\$1,345,660,131	\$2,207,522,902	\$3,553,183,033	\$1,355,491,421
Legislative increase (decrease)	1,284,129	34,396,992	35,681,121	2,668,581
Legislative budget	<u>\$1,346,944,260</u>	<u>\$2,241,919,894</u>	<u>\$3,588,864,154</u>	<u>\$1,358,160,002</u>
Add				
Deficiency appropriations provided by the 1997 Legislative Assembly	5,523,021	929,000	6,452,021	
Legislative budget as restated	<u>\$1,352,467,281</u>	<u>\$2,242,848,894</u>	<u>\$3,595,316,175</u>	<u>\$1,358,160,002</u>

1997-99

Executive budget	\$1,494,395,064	\$2,507,943,925	\$4,002,338,989	\$1,504,489,758
Legislative increase (decrease)	(5,154,977)	(22,576,608)	(27,731,585)	(4,393,735)
Legislative budget	<u>\$1,489,240,087</u>	<u>\$2,485,367,317</u>	<u>\$3,974,607,404</u>	<u>\$1,500,096,023</u>
Add				
Deficiency appropriations provided by the 1999 Legislative Assembly	21,507,334	250,000	21,757,334	
Legislative budget as restated	<u>\$1,510,747,421</u>	<u>\$2,485,617,317</u>	<u>\$3,996,364,738</u>	<u>\$1,500,096,023</u>

1999-2001

Executive budget	\$1,615,319,416	\$2,711,061,796	\$4,326,381,212	\$1,625,566,507
Legislative increase (decrease)	(21,280,878)	504,237,505 ⁸	482,956,627	(20,209,113)
Legislative budget	<u>\$1,594,038,538</u>	<u>\$3,215,299,301</u>	<u>\$4,809,337,839</u>	<u>\$1,605,357,394</u>
Add				
Deficiency appropriations provided by the 2001 Legislative Assembly	20,843,672	49,972,043	70,815,715	
Legislative budget as restated	<u>\$1,614,882,210</u>	<u>\$3,265,271,344</u>	<u>\$4,880,153,554</u>	<u>\$1,605,357,394</u>

2001-03

Executive budget	\$1,706,299,108	\$2,970,092,352	\$4,676,391,460	\$1,720,969,291
Legislative increase (decrease)	40,684,605	52,604,631	93,289,236	38,009,116
Legislative budget	<u>\$1,746,983,713</u>	<u>\$3,022,696,983⁹</u>	<u>\$4,769,680,696</u>	<u>\$1,758,978,407</u>
Add				
Deficiency appropriations provided by the 2003 Legislative Assembly		27,300,000	27,300,000	
Less				
1.05 percent budget allotment	(18,343,329)		(18,343,329)	
Legislative budget as restated	<u>\$1,728,640,384¹⁰</u>	<u>\$3,049,996,983</u>	<u>\$4,778,637,367¹⁰</u>	<u>\$1,758,978,407</u>

2003-05

Executive budget	\$1,762,103,934	\$3,231,267,168	\$4,993,371,102	\$1,772,149,343
Legislative increase (decrease)	41,557,227	24,511,067	66,068,294	41,764,727
Legislative budget	<u>\$1,803,661,161</u>	<u>\$3,255,778,235</u>	<u>\$5,059,439,396</u>	<u>\$1,813,914,070</u>
Add				

Deficiency appropriations provided by the 2005 Legislative Assembly	13,224,344	34,000,000	47,224,344	
Less				
Special fund reductions required by HB 1505 (2003)		(62,241)	(62,241)	
Legislative budget as restated	<u>\$1,816,885,505</u>	<u>\$3,289,715,994</u>	<u>\$5,106,601,499</u>	<u>\$1,813,914,070</u>

2005-07

Executive budget	\$2,007,001,269	\$3,538,353,475	\$5,545,354,744	\$2,017,154,996
Legislative increase (decrease)	<u>(17,548,646)</u>	<u>224,867,692</u>	<u>207,319,046</u>	<u>(17,406,842)</u>
Legislative budget	<u>\$1,989,452,623</u>	<u>\$3,763,221,167</u>	<u>\$5,752,673,790</u>	<u>\$1,999,748,154</u>

¹This schedule presents totals of appropriations and revenues recommended in the executive budget compared to the appropriations and revenues approved by the Legislative Assembly. Appropriation totals are adjusted to reflect deficiency appropriations made by succeeding Legislative Assemblies which are reflected in the previous biennium appropriation amounts.

²The general fund revenues include estimated general fund balances at the beginning of a biennium, which are in several instances adjusted to reflect deficiency appropriations.

The following is a summary of major action by the Legislative Assembly affecting general fund revenues:

- The 1967 Legislative Assembly increased the sales tax from 2.25 percent to 3 percent.
- The 1969 Legislative Assembly repealed the personal property tax, increased the sales tax from 3 to 4 percent, and enacted the business privilege tax. Also, the Legislative Assembly reduced the executive budget general fund revenue estimates (primarily income tax estimates) by \$4.7 million.
- The 1973 Legislative Assembly increased the estimated July 1, 1973, general fund balance from the executive estimate by \$3 million and increased executive budget 1973-75 revenue estimates for sales and income tax collections by \$3.3 million. In addition, revenue estimates were reduced by exempting food purchases from the sales tax, revising the income tax rates, and repealing the tax on oleomargarine.
- The 1975 Legislative Assembly increased the July 1, 1975, estimated general fund balance from the executive estimate by \$14 million because of increased sales and income tax collections and increased the executive budget 1975-77 revenue estimates for sales and income tax collections by \$10 million. In addition, a coal conversion tax and a coal severance tax were enacted. Also, revenue estimates were reduced by enacting the income tax inflation credit, reducing the business privilege tax, requiring driver's license fees to be deposited in the highway fund rather than the general fund, and amending the estate tax laws so no portion of estate tax collections are deposited in the state general fund.
- The executive budget and legislative revenue estimates for the 1977-79 biennium reflect the reduction of the sales tax from 4 to 3 percent.
- The 1979 Legislative Assembly increased the June 30, 1979, estimated general fund balance from the executive budget estimate by \$4 million because of increased income tax collections for the 1977-79 biennium and increased estimated income tax collections for the 1979-81 biennium by \$7 million.
- The 1981 Legislative Assembly reduced estimated income tax collections by \$51,700,000 as a result of an updating of the definition of federal taxable income and reducing income tax rates, changed the percentage of oil extraction tax going to the general fund from 45 to 30 percent resulting in a reduction of \$39,766,667, transferred a portion of the oil and gas production tax to the highway tax distribution fund and townships resulting in a reduction of \$32,000,000 to general fund revenues, and added \$21,500,000 due to a March 19, 1981, Executive Budget Office revision of revenue estimates.
- The 1983 Legislative Assembly reduced revenues by \$58,406,000 due to revised revenue estimates of the Executive Budget Office; increased revenues by \$86,030,000 to change the percentage of oil extraction tax going to the general fund from 30 to 90 percent; and provided major tax increases of \$102,750,000 for personal and corporate income taxes, \$41,590,000 in accelerated tax collections, \$8,200,000 in coal conversion taxes, \$9,500,000 in cigarette taxes, and \$4,200,000 in liquor taxes. Also, the executive budget and legislative revenue estimates for the 1983-85 biennium reflect an increase in the sales tax from 3 to 4 percent.

- The 1985 Legislative Assembly reduced general fund revenues by \$56,057,658 due to revised revenue estimates of the Executive Budget Office; and increased revenues by \$9,415,651 relating to a transfer from the coal development impact fund to the general fund, by \$5,320,000 to remove the sales tax exemption from candy and selected carbonated beverages, and by \$7,832,450 related to keeping the percentage of the oil extraction tax allocated to the general fund at 90 percent rather than 85 percent in the original executive budget.
- Revenues for the 1985-87 biennium were reduced by \$75,126,740 after the 1985 legislative session consisting of a reduction of \$110,386,758 due to revised revenue estimates of the Executive Budget Office, primarily a result of significant oil tax revenue shortfalls; an increase of \$18,984,018 due to a higher than estimated July 1, 1985, general fund balance; and increases totaling \$16,276,000 as a result of the 1986 special session action, of which \$13,276,000 relates to a one-cent sales and use tax increase for the last six months of the 1985-87 biennium, and a \$3,000,000 increase relating to increasing the individual income tax from 10.5 to 14 percent and implementing mandatory withholding effective January 1, 1987 (this is the fiscal impact after the referral measure relating to the income tax increase was defeated in March 1987).
- The 1987 Legislative Assembly increased general fund revenues by \$9,220,000 due to revised revenue estimates of the Executive Budget Office, by \$46,140,000 due to a temporary .5 percent sales, use, and aircraft excise tax increase from 5 to 5.5 percent and to include cable television, by \$8,300,000 due to a one-year 10 percent individual income tax surtax, by \$9,724,000 due to a nine-cent per package cigarette tax increase, and by \$4,255,000 due to removing the royalty owners exemption from the oil extraction tax. Revenues were reduced by \$4,625,000 to provide a 15-month oil extraction tax exemption and to reduce the oil extraction tax rate from 6.5 to 4 percent for new wells.
- The 1989 Legislative Assembly increased general fund revenues by \$32,236,000 due to revised revenue estimates of the Executive Budget Office, by \$87,241,000 due to a one-cent sales and use tax increase from 5 to 6 percent (the actual increase was from 5.5 to 6 percent; however, .5 percent was a temporary tax and the rate would have reverted to 5 percent), by \$4,714,200 for a 6 percent sales tax on bingo, by \$42,600,000 to increase the individual income tax from 14 to 17 percent of federal tax liability and the equivalent increases in the long-form rates, and by \$4,600,000 to increase the insurance premium tax rates on accident, health, and other lines, except life insurance, from 1.25 to 1.75 percent.
- The 1991 Legislative Assembly increased general fund revenues by \$16.3 million due to allowing Sunday opening and by \$23.2 million due to a transfer from Bank of North Dakota earnings.
- The 1993 Legislative Assembly increased general fund revenues by \$11.8 million due to repealing the capital construction fund which received a portion of the sales, use, and motor vehicle excise tax, by \$15.5 million due to increasing the cigarette tax by 15 cents (from 29 to 44 cents) and other tobacco products from 22 to 28 percent of the wholesale price, and by \$8.2 million due to increasing the tax on charitable gaming tickets (pull tabs) from 2 to 4.5 percent.
- The 1995 Legislative Assembly increased general fund revenues by \$2.7 million due to increasing court fees and providing that the fees be deposited in the general fund rather than with the counties, additional revenues being projected in the March revenue forecast, and requiring a sales certificate on used vehicle sales. Revenues were reduced as a result of increasing the oil extraction tax allocation to the resources trust fund and decreasing transfers from the Mill and Elevator and state agency 1993-95 estimated turnback.
- The 1997 Legislative Assembly reduced general fund revenues by \$4.4 million due to decreasing Bank of North Dakota transfers to the general fund, decreasing state aid distribution fund transfers to the general fund, expanding the exemptions from the coal conversion tax, and a reduction resulting from the March revenue forecast. Revenues were increased as a result of estimating additional agency turnback for the 1995-97 biennium and additional oil and gas production tax revenues and increasing the percentage of sales and use tax collections that are deposited in the general fund.
- The 1999 Legislative Assembly reduced general fund revenues by \$20.2 million due to a reduction resulting from the March revenue revision, decreasing Bank of North Dakota transfers to the general fund, and a sales tax rate reduction for used farm machinery and repair parts and used irrigation equipment. Revenues were increased as a result of increased departmental collections from governmental nursing facility payment reimbursements, the contingent sale of developmentally disabled facility loans to the Bank of North Dakota, increased special fund transfers, and additional court filing fee revenue.

- The 2001 Legislative Assembly increased general fund revenues by \$38 million due to an increase resulting from the March revenue revision, increasing Bank of North Dakota transfers to the general fund, the providing of a transfer from the student loan trust fund, and increased departmental collections from governmental nursing facility payment reimbursements.
- The 2003 Legislative Assembly increased general fund revenues by \$41.7 million due to an increase resulting from the March revenue revision, a 1 percent lodging tax increase, increasing the transfer from the student loan trust fund, and the providing of transfers from the water development trust fund and the health care trust fund.
- The 2005 Legislative Assembly decreased general fund revenues by \$17.4 million due to defeating the executive budget proposal to repeal North Dakota Century Code Section 54-27.2-02 providing for the end of the biennium general fund balance in excess of \$65 million to be transferred to the budget stabilization fund resulting in a reduction in revenue of \$65 million, defeating the executive budget proposal to increase the limit for oil revenues to be deposited in the general fund rather than the permanent oil tax trust fund resulting in a reduction in revenue of \$13.3 million, increasing the transfer from the lands and minerals trust fund, and providing transfers from the permanent oil tax trust fund.

³This is the amount of general fund appropriations recommended by the Governor in the original executive budget as submitted on December 13-14, 1982. On February 10, 1983, and March 21, 1983, the Governor made specific recommendations in regard to reductions in various general fund appropriations. The Governor also supported an additional general fund foundation aid appropriation due to depositing 90 percent of the oil extraction tax in the general fund. The Governor's revised general fund appropriation level, subsequent to the February 1983 adjustments discussed above, was \$897.8 million, which included an additional \$15.8 million for foundation aid due to a decline in oil revenue estimates. Subsequent to the March 1983 adjustments, the Governor's revised general fund appropriation level was \$973.4 million, which was \$871.5 million plus \$101.9 million due to funding foundation aid from the general fund rather than from oil extraction tax collections.

⁴This is the amount of general fund appropriations recommended by Governor Olson in the original executive budget as submitted on December 6-7, 1984. On February 5, 1985, Governor Sinner recommended reductions to general fund appropriations which totaled \$72,995,855. Governor Sinner's revised general fund appropriation level was \$1,115,605,614.

⁵In addition to adjustments for deficiency appropriations, the Governor mandated a 4 percent general fund allotment reduction totaling \$44,125,917 as of May 1987, the 50th Legislative Assembly made general fund reductions totaling \$12,965,250, and \$4,388,862 was added for Emergency Commission action and 1983-85 carryover, which resulted in a 1985-87 general fund spending level of \$1,081,481,356.

⁶Other adjustments to the 1987-89 legislative general fund appropriations were a \$3,174,998 reduction due to the cable television sales tax referral and a \$20,520,081 reduction from budget allotments ordered by the Governor because of an anticipated reduction in general fund revenues.

⁷In addition, the 1991 Legislative Assembly, in House Bill No. 1046, appropriated up to \$9.5 million from the general fund for rural development if 1991-93 revenues were more than the 1991-93 revenue estimates made by the 1991 Legislative Assembly, excluding the effect of Sunday opening, by at least \$11 million. This amount does not reflect budget allotments ordered by the Governor during the 1991-93 biennium of \$4,305,000.

⁸The special funds appropriation increase results primarily from the Legislative Assembly appropriating \$476.3 million of higher education local funds for the 1999-2001 biennium.

⁹The special funds appropriation decrease from the prior biennium results primarily from the Legislative Assembly removing \$755,859,048 of higher education tuition income and local funds by providing a continuing appropriation for higher education special funds, including tuition income and local funds. If higher education tuition income and local funds had been specifically appropriated, the 2001-03 legislative budget for special funds would have been approximately \$3,778,556,031, a \$513,284,687 increase from the 1999-2001 special funds legislative budget of \$3,265,271,344.

¹⁰In addition to an adjustment for deficiency appropriations, the Governor mandated a 1.05 percent general fund allotment reduction totaling \$18,343,329 in July 2002.