

# North Dakota Legislative Council

Prepared for the Legislative Audit and Fiscal Review Committee LC# 27.9048.01000 August 2025

## LEGISLATIVE AUDIT AND FISCAL REVIEW COMMITTEE -STATUTORY DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES FOR THE 2025-27 BIENNIUM

The Legislative Management by statute appoints a Legislative Audit and Fiscal Review Committee. Pursuant to North Dakota Century Code Section 54-35-02.1, the committee is created:

- For the purpose of studying and reviewing the financial transactions of the state.
- To assure the collection of revenues and the expenditure of money is in compliance with law, legislative intent, and sound financial practices.
- To provide the Legislative Assembly with formal, objective information on revenue collections and expenditures as a basis for legislative action to improve the fiscal structure and transactions of the state.

#### STATUTORY DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

The committee is charged by statute or Legislative Management assignment with the following duties and responsibilities for the 2025-27 biennium:

- 1. **State Fair Association** Receive annual audit reports from the State Fair Association pursuant to Section 4.1-45-17.
- 2. **Ethyl alcohol and methanol producers** Receive annual audit reports from any corporation that produces agricultural ethyl alcohol or methanol in this state and which receives a production subsidy from the state pursuant to Section 10-19.1-152, and receive annual audit reports from any limited partnership that produces agricultural ethyl alcohol or methanol in this state and which receives a production subsidy from the state pursuant to Section 45-10.2-115.
- 3. **Department of Health and Human Services' accounts receivable** Receive annual reports on the status of accounts receivable for the Department of Health and Human Services and the Life Skills and Transition Center pursuant to Sections 25-04-17 and 50-06.3-08.
- 4. Low-risk incentive fund Receive annual audit reports and economic impact reports from the North Dakota low-risk incentive fund. Section 26.1-50-05 provides for the audit report to be submitted to the Legislative Council. The Legislative Management has assigned the responsibility to this committee.
- 5. **North Dakota Stockmen's Association** Receive a biennial audit report from the North Dakota Stockmen's Association. Section 4.1-72-08 provides for the audit report to be submitted electronically to the Legislative Council. The Legislative Management has assigned the responsibility to this committee.
- 6. **Job Service North Dakota** Receive a performance audit report, upon the request of the committee, for Job Service North Dakota pursuant to Section 52-02-18.
- 7. **Performance audits** The State Auditor is to conduct or provide for performance audits of state agencies, or the agencies' blended component units or discreetly presented component units, as determined necessary by the Legislative Assembly or this committee. When determining the necessity of a performance audit, the committee shall consider:

- a. The potential cost-savings or efficiencies that may be gained as a result of the performance audit:
- b. The staff resources of the State Auditor's office and the state agency being audited which will be required to conduct the audit;
- c. The potential for discovery of noncompliance with state law or legislative intent regarding the program or agency; and
- d. The potential for the performance audit to identify opportunities for program improvements.
- 8. **Frequency of audits** Determine the frequency of audits or reviews of state agencies pursuant to Section 54-10-01.
- 9. **Political subdivisions** Determine if the State Auditor is to perform audits of political subdivisions on a more frequent basis than once every 2 years pursuant to Section 54-10-13 and direct the State Auditor to audit or review the accounts of any political subdivision pursuant to Section 54-10-15.
- 10. **Study and review audit reports** Pursuant to Section 54-35-02.2, the committee is charged with the following responsibilities:
  - a. To study and review audit reports selected by the committee from those submitted by the State Auditor.
  - b. To confer with the State Auditor regarding the audit reports reviewed by the committee.
  - c. As necessary, to confer with representatives of state departments, agencies, and institutions audited in order to obtain information regarding fiscal transactions and governmental operations.
  - d. Whenever the committee may determine that a state agency, department, or institution has failed to correct an audit finding within 2 bienniums, which the committee determines critically important, the committee may recommend the Legislative Assembly reduce the state agency, department, or institution's appropriation as compared with the amount appropriated to the agency, department, or institution for the previous biennium.
- 11. **Review updates to government auditing standards and develop guidelines** Each biennium, pursuant to Section 54-35-02.10, the committee, in consultation with the State Auditor, shall review updates to government auditing standards and develop guidelines for the contents of state agency audit reports. The committee when developing guidelines shall consider applicable auditing standards, sound financial practices, compliance with laws and legislative intent, data analyses, and the opportunity to improve the efficient and effective operations of state agencies.
- 12. Audit report distribution policies and practices Receive a biennial report from the State Auditor regarding final report distribution policies and practices and any final audit reports released to the public prior to distribution of the final audit report to all individuals charged with the governance of the audit client pursuant to Section 54-10-01.
- 13. Audit communication and processes Receive a quarterly report from the State Auditor regarding communication processes with audited entities and any changes to the processes; billing practices and procedures, including the use of cost estimates for audits, an itemized invoicing methodology, and a defined change order process for audits that exceed the original estimate; information on audits completed, including the name of the audited organization, organization type, audit type, audit period, estimated and actual hours and costs, total audit costs and cost as a percentage of the audited organization's operating budget; and audit schedules, including audits performed by private firms and audits performed by the State Auditor's office pursuant to Section 54-10-01.
- 14. Government self-insurance pool Receive a report from a government self-insurance pool, organized under Chapter 26.1-23.1, by September 30 of each even-numbered year which includes information on activities of the pool, including the claims activity, claims payment history, balances, a history of complaints, and executive staff and board compensation pursuant to House Bill No. 1010 (2025).

#### REQUESTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS DURING PREVIOUS INTERIMS

The committee has made various recommendations and requests during past interims, including:

- 1. **Discussion of audit findings prior to presentation of the report** The State Auditor should consider discussing audit findings with appropriate boards or commissions prior to the audit report being presented to the committee.
- 2. **Actions taken by audited agency** Audited agencies, boards, and commissions should report to the committee at the time the audit report is presented regarding actions taken as a result of audit findings contained in the report.
- 3. **Responses to recommendations** Each audit report prepared by the State Auditor should include a summary of audit recommendations along with the audited agency's written response to the recommendations. The summary is to be in recommendation-response format.
- 4. Acceptance of audit reports The committee receives audit reports as presented by the State Auditor's office and other independent auditors and, by motion, accepts the reports. The motion is not a directive for the adoption of the audit recommendations. A representative of the State Auditor's office will follow up on any previous audit findings and recommendations at the time of the next audit. If the agency has not taken steps to address the recommendation or cannot document a justifiable reason for not implementing the recommendation at the time of the next audit, it will be again included as an audit finding and recommendation.
- 5. Committee follow up with agencies that have not complied with audit recommendations The committee, by motion, may direct the Legislative Council staff to send correspondence to an agency that has not complied with previous audit recommendations requesting the agency to appear before the committee to explain the reason for noncompliance with audit recommendations or steps taken to address recommendations.
- 6. Prior audit findings Pursuant to Section 54-35-02.2, whenever the committee may determine that a state agency, department, or institution has failed to correct an audit finding within 2 bienniums, which the committee determines critically important, the committee may recommend the Legislative Assembly reduce the state agency, department, or institution's appropriation as compared with the amount appropriated to the agency, department, or institution for the previous biennium.
- 7. Implementation of recommendations Each state agency and institution should comply with and implement, within the limits of the law, recommendations contained in audit reports prepared by the State Auditor's office. Such compliance and implementation should be viewed toward improvement of government operations, including fiscal operations, and to full execution of the law.
- 8. **Six-month review** The State Auditor's office should determine whether agencies have complied with the auditor's recommendations within 6 months after a report has been accepted by this committee. The State Auditor should report to this committee, or another appropriate legislative committee, regarding any recommendations not implemented.
- 9. **Copies of management letters** The State Auditor should provide copies of management letters to committee members.
- 10. **Use of salaries and wages funding** The State Auditor should consider including in audit reports information on the amount of salaries and wages funding spent on filled full-time equivalent (FTE) positions and the amount relating to vacant FTE positions which is spent for other purposes.
- 11. Presentation of evidence to the Attorney General Pursuant to Section 54-35-02.2, whenever the committee determines or has reason to believe there may have been a violation of law relating to the receipt, custody, or expenditure of public funds by any state officer or employee, the committee is to present such evidence or information to the Attorney General. Upon receipt of the information, the Attorney General is to immediately commence an investigation. If the evidence supplied by the committee and through the investigation indicates the probability of a violation of law by any state official or employee, the Attorney General is to prosecute the state official or employee as provided by law.

12. **Preliminary audit report review period** - The committee shall receive preliminary audit reports and audited entity's response for a 7-day review period pursuant to Section 54-10-26. The preliminary audit report and response may not be provided to any other person except by the committee as necessary to perform the committee's duties and at the end of the 7-day period the audit report and response is deemed a final audit report and is considered an open record.

#### **AUDIT GUIDELINES**

During the 2005-06 interim, the committee received suggested changes from the State Auditor's office to revise the 12 audit guidelines as some of the guidelines were no longer applicable to state agencies. The six new questions as proposed by the State Auditor's office to be addressed would highlight key areas and issues of interest to committee members and provide similar information to those made by auditors to an "audit committee." The committee also received input and approval from representatives of Eide Bailly LLP and Brady, Martz & Associates PC regarding the six new questions.

The committee also considered other areas the auditors could address before the committee, such as significant changes in accounting policies, accounting estimates, audit adjustments, disagreements with management, consultation with other independent auditors, major issues discussed with management prior to the auditors' retention, difficulties encountered in performing the audits, and high-risk information technology systems critical to an agency's operations.

The committee approved replacement of the 12 audit guidelines with six audit questions and eight other issues to be communicated by the auditors to the committee. For audit periods covering fiscal years ending June 30, 2006, and thereafter, auditors of state agencies and institutions are requested to address the following six audit questions:

- 1. What type of opinion was issued on the financial statements?
- 2. Was there compliance with statutes, laws, rules, and regulations under which the agency was created and is functioning?
- 3. Was internal control adequate and functioning effectively?
- 4. Were there any indications of lack of efficiency in financial operations and management of the agency?
- 5. Has action been taken on findings and recommendations included in prior audit reports?
- 6. Was a management letter issued? If so, provide a summary below, including any recommendations and the management responses.

The eight issues to be communicated to the committee identify:

- 1. Significant changes in accounting policies, any management conflicts of interest, any contingent liabilities, or any significant unusual transactions.
- Significant accounting estimates, the process used by management to formulate the accounting estimates, and the basis for the auditor's conclusions regarding the reasonableness of those estimates.
- Significant audit adjustments.
- 4. Disagreements with management, whether resolved to the auditor's satisfaction, relating to a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter that could be significant to the financial statements.
- 5. Serious difficulties encountered in performing the audit.
- 6. Major issues discussed with management prior to retention.
- 7. Management consultations with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters.
- 8. High-risk information technology systems critical to operations based on the auditor's overall assessment of the importance of the system to the agency and its mission, or whether any

exceptions identified in the six audit report questions to be addressed by auditors are directly related to the operations of an information technology system.

During the 2021-22 interim, the committee received information and testimony from the State Auditor and private accounting firms regarding suggested changes to guidelines for audits of state agencies, which include guidelines for financial statement audits and guidelines for operational audits using performance standards. The committee approved, for audit periods on or after June 30, 2022, except for audits under contract:

- 1. Auditors conducting financial statement audits of state agencies replace the six audit-related questions/responses and eight audit-related communications within the audit report with a separate audit summary that identifies the purpose of the audit, the type of audit opinion, finds and recommendations, status of prior recommendations, explanations of significant audit adjustments and misstatements, disagreements with management or difficulties encountered during the audit, other audit report highlights, and the costs of the audit compared to the prior audit.
- 2. The State Auditor conducting operational audits using performance auditing standards of state agencies discontinue including the six audit-related questions/responses and eight audit-related communications in the audit report and expand the audit summary to identify the areas reviewed and testing conducted even if there are no related findings or recommendations.

#### **AUDIT APPROACH**

During the 1999-2000 interim, the committee received testimony from a representative of the State Auditor's office regarding the agency's plan to change the audit approach used in conducting 2-year audits of state agencies and institutions. For 2-year audits of state agencies and institutions conducted by the State Auditor's office, the State Auditor determined governmental auditing standards for performance audits are more applicable than governmental auditing standards for financial audits. A report on an audit conducted using performance auditing standards includes audited financial statements but does not include an opinion on those financial statements because the audit will not determine if the financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. The State Auditor provided a sample audit report identifying the information that would continue to be provided in the audit reports, including background information; noteworthy accomplishments; management's discussion and analysis; comparison of current to prior year's results; analysis of significant changes in operations; analysis of significant budgeted to actual variances; statement of assets, liabilities, and fund equity; statement of revenues and expenditures; and statement of appropriations. The committee supported the proposal presented by the State Auditor's office to begin conducting 2-year audits of state agencies and institutions using governmental auditing standards for performance audits.

The State Auditor's office began to use the new audit approach during the 2001-02 interim. Two-year audits conducted by public accounting firms continue to utilize governmental auditing standards for financial audits.

During the 2017-18 interim, the State Auditor's office developed and the committee began receiving a one-page summary report for the 2-year audits of state agencies. The summary report highlights the objective of the audit, including areas of internal control reviewed, findings relating to legislative intent, suggested areas of operational improvement, and key financial information.

#### AUDIT REQUIREMENTS FOR THE STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE

Section 54-10-04 requires the Legislative Assembly to provide for a financial statement audit of the State Auditor's office. A copy of the audit report must be filed with the Governor and with each house of the Legislative Assembly. The State Auditor's office audit report for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2020 and 2021, was completed by Eide Bailly LLP. This audit report was reviewed and approved by the committee during the March 31, 2022, meeting.

The National State Auditors Association requires the State Auditor's offices to be subject to an external quality control review every 3 years. The system of quality control encompasses the office's organizational structure and policies adopted and procedures established to provide reasonable

assurance of conforming to governmental auditing standards. The quality control review of the State Auditor's office for the period April 1, 2022, to March 31, 2023, was received by the committee during the December 19, 2023, meeting.

#### 2025 LEGISLATIVE ACTIVITY

The 2025 Legislative Assembly approved the following legislation related to the activities of the State Auditor and the Legislative Audit and Fiscal Review Committee:

- 1. House Bill No. 1010 Government self-insurance pool This bill requires a government self-insurance pool, organized under Chapter 26.1-23.1, provide a report to the Legislative Management by September 30 of each even-numbered year which includes information on activities of the pool, including claims activity, claims payment history, balances, a history of complaints, and executive staff and board compensation.
- 2. House Bill No. 1026 State bonding fund This bill moves the administration of the state bonding fund from the Insurance Commissioner to the Office of Management and Budget and provides the State Auditor may, upon notification from the Office of Management and Budget of jeopardized fund interests, investigate the relevant state agency or political subdivision and provide a report. The State Auditor may evaluate blanket bond coverage when conducting an audit of a state agency or political subdivision and recommend changes in the amount of coverage in the audit report. Costs incurred by the State Auditor must be paid from the fund.
- 3. **Senate Bill No. 2051 Private Investigative and Security Board performance audit** This bill requires the State Auditor conduct a performance audit of the Private Investigative and Security Board during the 2025-27 biennium.
- 4. Senate Bill No. 2251 State agency billing This bill:
  - a. Removes the requirement of the State Auditor to charge for agency audits;
  - b. Eliminates billing limits on the financial statement audits of agricultural commodity groups;
  - c. Clarifies the ability of the State Auditor to charge for the federal single audit;
  - d. Reduces the fee retained by a political subdivision awaiting audit report approval by the State Auditor from 20 to 5 percent; and
  - e. Increases the annual receipts threshold for audits of occupational or professional boards from \$200,000 to \$2 million.
  - A fiscal note prepared by the State Auditor indicates general fund revenue and other funds expenditure reductions of \$343,353 during the 2025-27 biennium.
- 5. Senate Bill No. 2265 Veterans' National Cemetery grant This bill requires an organization that receives a Veterans' National Cemetery grant to provide a report to the Department of Veterans' Affairs on the use of the funds received, including copies of all invoices and checks. The bill also requires the Department of Veterans' Affairs to provide the information received from the organization to the Legislative Audit and Fiscal Review Committee and report to the committee on the status of the project during the 2025-26 interim.
- 6. **Senate Bill No. 2299 Water resource districts** This bill provides the State Auditor may audit or review the financial records of certain water resource districts upon the petition of at least 10 percent or 300 participating members, whichever is fewer.
- 7. **Senate Bill No. 2396 North Dakota Development Fund performance audit** This bill requires the State Auditor to conduct or contract for annual financial statement audits of the North Dakota Development Fund and provides one-time funding of \$250,000 from the general fund for the State Auditor to contract for a performance audit of the North Dakota Development Fund.

#### PERFORMANCE AUDITS

The following table lists performance audits presented to the committee during the 1997-99 through 2023-25 bienniums:

Performance Audit	Date
1997-99 biennium State procurement practices State employee classification system Workers' Compensation Bureau (performance review) Job Service North Dakota	October 7, 1997, and January 21, 1998 October 6, 1998, and January 27, 1999 October 6, 1998 October 6, 1998
1999-2001 biennium Contracts for services Child support enforcement program (Department of Human Services) Workers' Compensation Bureau (performance review) Job Service North Dakota	May 22-23, 2000 October 16-17, 2000 October 16-17, 2000 October 16-17, 2000
2001-03 biennium Aging Services, service payments for elderly and disabled, and expanded service payments for elderly and disabled programs (Department of Human Services) Veterans' Home Workers' Compensation Bureau (performance review) Job Service North Dakota	November 26, 2001  October 2, 2002, and January 22, 2003 October 2, 2002 October 2, 2002
2003-05 biennium Driver and Vehicle Services (Department of Transportation) Administrative Committee on Veterans Affairs (ACOVA) and the Department of Veterans' Affairs Westforce Sefety and Incurence (performance review)	September 3-4, 2003 August 10, 2004
Workforce Safety and Insurance (performance review) Job Service North Dakota Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation	October 27, 2004 October 27, 2004 January 24, 2005
2005-07 biennium Division of Emergency Management Collection and use of 911 fees (Division of Emergency Management) Workforce Safety and Insurance (performance audit) Workforce Safety and Insurance (performance review)	January 10, 2006 January 10, 2006, and June 19, 2006 November 29, 2006 November 29, 2006
2007-09 biennium University of North Dakota (UND) School of Medicine and Health Sciences Wildlife Services program Workforce Safety and Insurance (performance review)	November 19, 2007 July 8, 2008 October 20, 2008
2009-11 biennium Department of Commerce North Dakota University System capital projects Medicaid provider and recipient fraud and abuse	September 30, 2009 May 12, 2010 October 21, 2010
2011-13 biennium Dickinson State University Use of state-supplied vaccines by a provider (State Department of Health) State Department of Health Family Health Division Fees charged at North Dakota State University (NDSU) and UND State Water Commission's regulation of industrial water use in the state University System office	March 27, 2012 March 27, 2012 June 21, 2012 July 26, 2012 January 24, 2013 March 12, 2013
2013-15 biennium State Water Commission's water use permitting process Game and Fish Department University System institutions tuition waivers and student stipends	July 29, 2013 July 16, 2014 April 21, 2015
2015-17 biennium Department of Trust Lands Space utilization study	April 21, 2016 March 6, 2017
2017-19 biennium University foundations University System institutions purchasing card program University System institutions reports of the emergency preparedness at various institutions of higher education	Deferred until further notice August 30, 2016 October 2016
NDSU Parking and Transportation Services department UND continuity of operations planning Veterans' Home ACOVA oversight structure	February 23, 2017 September 11, 2017 February 10, 2018 March 28, 2018
Department of Veterans' Affairs Governor's office travel and use of state resources	March 28, 2018 May 18, 2018

Performance Audit	Date
2019-21 biennium	
Dakota College at Bottineau emergency preparedness	June 7, 2018
Special funds	June 30, 2018
University System open educational resources	September 4, 2018
University System online education North Dakota State College of Science Division of Workforce Affairs	November 9, 2018 March 26, 2019
University System nonresident tuition	December 31, 2019
2021-23 biennium None	
2023-25 biennium	
Public Employees Retirement System - Prescription Drug Coverage	February 16, 2023

#### PRESCRIPTION DRUG COVERAGE PERFORMANCE AUDIT

During the 2023 legislative session, the committee met, received, and accepted the performance audit report on the prescription drug coverage of the main Public Employees Retirement System health benefit plan. The State Auditor's office contracted with Myers and Stauffer, LC, to conduct the performance audit, which reviewed the prescription drug coverage benefit offered to Public Employees Retirement System members by Sanford Health Plan and OptumRx. The performance audit included an analysis of prescription drug claims data from January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2021, to identify potential improvements to the plan. The contract for the performance audit allowed for payment for actual hours worked, not to exceed \$334,222.

The committee was informed Sanford Health Plan and OptumRx failed to provide sufficient documentation to support all required analyses. The auditors were unable to obtain original remittance advices for 2019 and 2020, limiting the ability to validate administrative fees, reconcile drug costs reimbursed to pharmacies, and perform a comprehensive analysis of spread pricing. Further, insufficient data was available for specialty pharmacy claims in all 3 years, limiting the ability of the auditor to analyze the cost of specialty drugs.

Recommendations included adjusting the Sanford Health Plan contract to have a more conservative retained dollar amount (currently the lesser of 50 percent of shared savings or \$1.5 million to be retained by Sanford Health Plan) and adjusting monthly premiums to reduce the likelihood of significant overfunding of the plan.

#### ATTORNEY GENERAL LEASED FACILITY INVESTIGATIVE REPORT

During the 2023-24 interim, the committee received an investigative report from the Montana Department of Justice on the lease agreement and the remodeling and construction of facilities leased by the Attorney General located at 1720 Burlington Drive, Bismarck. The committee received background information and the timeline relating to the lease agreement and cost overruns from the Attorney General; the fact-finding investigative final report from representatives of the Montana Department of Justice; and a response to the investigative report from representatives of Vogel Law Firm representing Stealth Properties LLC, and D&S LLC, the owners and managers of the property.

### PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF THE STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE

During the 2025 legislative session, the committee met, received, and accepted the performance audit report of the State Auditor's office. Forvis Mazars, LLP, was contracted to conduct the performance audit at a total cost of \$280,935. The performance audit included an evaluation of the efficiency and effectiveness of the State Auditor's office relative to industry best practices and included a review of the hours required to complete audits, methods used to monitor staff time, billing processes, and quality assurance processes. The audit also included an assessment of the adequacy and timeliness of communications with audited entities, governing boards, and the public.

The audit reviewed processes and documentation, which demonstrated compliance with required standards and that effective oversight mechanisms were in place. The committee was informed that the audit did not identify any instances of improper billing by the State Auditor's office, and in the sample of

audits tested, the amounts billed were substantiated by costs incurred. Prior to January 2024, invoices lacked detail, including hours worked, staff rates, and descriptions of services and no formal processes existed for cost proposals or change orders. Beginning in January 2024, the State Auditor's office changed invoicing processes to incorporate these missing details and procedures to provide clients with cost proposals, change orders, estimated maximum audit costs, and itemized invoices.

The audit recommended the State Auditor's office continue providing detailed audit cost proposals, itemized invoices, and change orders. In addition, a stakeholder survey completed by 105 respondents indicated opportunities for improvement regarding communications throughout the audit process, invoicing practices, timeliness of audit completion, and assistance with form completion.